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**March 21, 2022 at 11:00 AM ET**

**T+1 Steering Committee (T1SC)**

**Agenda**

**Co-Chaired by:**

Jason O’Born – RBC

Keith Evans – CCMA

1. Approval of Minutes from the meeting held on February 22, 2022
2. SEC T+1 Notice - <https://www.sec.gov/rules/proposed/2022/34-94196.pdf>
   1. Review and approve CCMA Comment Letter ([see below](#SECLetter))
3. CDS Job Scheduler - Update
4. Working Group Reports
   1. Operations - Sheera Badial and Domenic Sgambelluri
      1. OWG-XXX
   2. Legal/Regulatory - Jamie Anderson
      1. LRWG-010 - Labour Sponsored Investment Funds
   3. Mutual Funds - Russ White
      1. MFWG-XXX
   4. Education/Communications - Barb Amsden
      1. CEWG-Communications Plan ([see below](#CEWG))
5. Other Business
6. Next Meeting April 26, 2022 at 11:00 AM ET

**T1SC - Minutes of February 22, 2022**

Keith Evans of CCMA welcomed attendees to the T+1 Steering Committee meeting. He asked all members attending by phone to send an email to him indicating their attendance at the meeting.

**1 - Approval of the Minutes from the meeting held on January 25, 2022**

Keith reported that the Minutes from the last T+1 Steering Committee meeting, held on January 25, 2022, were distributed with the meeting package. There were no additions, subtractions or material corrections requested by members, therefore the Minutes of the meeting were approved as presented.

**2 – (a) SEC Notice**

Jamie reported that on February 9, 2022 the U.S. Securities Exchange Commission unanimously approved the publication for comment of proposed amendments to the *Exchange Act* and the *Advisers Act* to shorten the securities transaction settlement cycle to T+1.

The comment period is the longer of: 60 days from the posting of the posting of the proposed amendments on the SEC website or 30 days from publication in the Federal Register. The proposed amendments were posted on the SEC website on February 9, 2022. The comment period expires Monday April 11, 2022 (unless the publication in the Federal Register results in a longer timeline i.e. the Federal Register publication date is later than March 11, 2022).

The proposed amendments [*Exchange Act* Rule 15c6-1(a)] would prohibit broker-dealers from conducting trades that do not settle by T+1 (unless otherwise expressly agreed to by the parties at the time of the transaction). This requirement would go into effect no later than March 31, 2024. Jamie noted that the T+1 transition deadline would likely be earlier than this with a possibility of February 20, 2024 (the day after President’s Day which is a holiday for federal workers and all but 11 states). Keith Evans noted that a holiday long weekend would be the most likely choice for the transition, just as was done for the T+2 transition (September 5, 2017), with a view to avoid quarter ends, triple witching days, etc. The February 20, 2024 potential transition date aligns with the 2024 February holiday observed by many provinces (PEI, NS, NB, ON, MB, SK, AB, and BC).

Jamie noted that the currently longer settlement cycle for primary offerings would also be transitioned to T+1 [*Exchange Act* Rule 15c6-1(c)]. The origin of the current Rule was to manage prospectus delivery obligations. However, with the “access equals delivery” standard now in place in the U.S., delivery of prospectus may be made through posting electronic documents and as such, a separate settlement cycle to enable delivery of physical documents is no longer required.

There are also proposed amendments impacting clearing agencies that are a central matching service provider [*Exchange Act* Rule 15c6-1(d)]. There would be a requirement that the clearing agency implement, maintain and enforce policies and procedures that facilitate straight-through processing and produce a publicly available annual report describing its current procedures and progress over the 12-month period as well as the steps intended in the next 12 months.

There are also proposed amendments requiring institutional trades be allocated, confirmed and affirmed as soon as technologically practicable and no later than trade date [*Exchange Act* Rule 15c6-2]. Under proposed amendments to the *Advisors Act* (Rule 204-2), registered investment advisers that are parties to these contracts will need to record confirmations received and allocations and affirmations sent.

**(b) CCMA comment letter re: SEC Notice**

The Steering Committee discussed whether CCMA should submit a comment letter with regards to the SEC proposed rule amendments for T+1. It was agreed that a supportive letter should be submitted, similar to that as submitted for the T+2 initiative. Keith advised that a draft comment letter would be tabled at the next Steering Committee meeting in March.

**3 – Asset List**

Keith reported that one of the issues that needs to be undertaken is the development of a list of asset classes that are, and are not, expected to transition to T+1 in 2024. He said that attached to the meeting package was the list of asset classes that made the transition to T+2 in 2017. Keith recommended that the task of confirming assets to transition to T+1 should be assigned to the Operations Working Group. He mentioned that this was already identified by that group as an issue for them to undertake. Keith further recommended that the Operations Working should aim to complete their review by the end of April or May of this year. Once completed, the proposed list would be shared across all CCMA Working Groups and the Steering Committee prior to final approval in June/July of this year.

The Steering Committee agreed.

**4 – Project Timelines**

Keith referenced the high level project timelines for the transition to T+1 that was included in the meeting package. He said that the original schedule indicated that the implementation timeframe was for completion by the end of the first half of 2024. However, the SEC Notice discussed earlier in the meeting has stated that the completion of the transition should be done by the end of Q1 2024.

**5 - T+1 Steering Committee Membership**

Keith referenced the pre-mailed meeting package and the draft list of members for the Steering Committee, where the membership is an ‘official’ list of members that could be called upon if a formal vote is required. Keith said that the CCMA Board had recently approved the membership list. He did say that the current version of the proposed membership lists includes two new members, as directed by the Steering Committee a previous meeting. At the request of the members, Sam Farrell from Credit Suisse was added to ensure that Torstone (vendor) was represented on the Steering Committee. Likewise, it was recommended that a small, independent and self-clearing broker dealer be included to ensure the full range of members are represented on the Steering Committee. Andre Zanga, from Casgrain has agreed to be a member of the Steering Committee to fill this request. In addition, Keith referenced the added category of “Special Purpose Vote”, where a vote may be assigned to a subject matter expert on any particular subject (e.g. ETF’s, sec lending, etc.). This vote would be assigned, if necessary, by the two Co-Chairs of the Steering Committee. Keith also said that any formal vote will not be done in isolation, and would only be done during a full Steering Committee meeting. However, only the votes of the ‘official’ members would be tabulated and recorded.

**6 - Working Group Reports**

Keith Evans asked the chairs of each of the working groups to provide an update on their respective working groups’ activities.

1. **Operations**: Domenic Sgambelluri provided the update to the Steering Committee. He reported that at the last meeting, the working group discussed several issues on their Issue Log. Issue #OWG-007 deals with corporate actions. He reported that the working group has concluded that, at this time, there is nothing material to do with respect to the processing of corporate actions. That is, the placement of the Ex-Date one day closer to Record Date appears to be the only change at this time. CDS, Transfer Agents and Exchanges will need to note the implementation date of T+1 and make the necessary adjust in placement of the Ex-Date.

Members unanimously approved the recommendation.

Domenic also reported that CCMA has a project was underway with CDS, where the CDS Job Scheduler (OWG-013) will be re-sorted to allow for trades to be entered, reconciled, corrected, allocated, and confirmed, before CDS starts their overnight batch cycle. CCMA is expected to present these findings to the OWG in April or May of this year.

Other issues discussed include securities lending (OWG-009) and the T+1 Asset List (OWG-025).

1. **Legal:** Jamie Anderson reported that issue LRWG-005 is being presented to the Steering Committee for approval and closure. Jamie noted that most of NI 81-104 *Alternative Mutual Funds* was repealed, including Part 6 which referred to the settlement period in NI 81-102 Investment Funds. There are no references to settlement period and no amendments required; therefore the log can be closed.

Members unanimously approved the recommendation.

Jamie noted that there are a number of regulatory issues on the LRWG Issue Log that requires input from other working groups, such as NI 24-101 from the OWG. These are expected in the coming months and will be reviewed once they have been determined by the working groups.

1. **Mutual Funds:** Russ White said that the Mutual Fund working group had recently held their first meeting. He said that he was confident in the ability of the Mutual Funds segment of the business to be ready to transition to T+1, since Fundserv already processes about 9% of the current eligible funds on a T+1 basis. He was also confident that the majority of the Issuers will be moving their issues to T+1 on the eventual transition deadline. However, there is some analysis to conduct with a couple of Issuers that may want to keep their issues at T+2. One of the issues identified to date is liquidity to cover any shortfall of cash within a particular fund to pay for large redemptions in the shorter settlement cycle.
2. **Education:** Barb Amsden updated the group on the activities of the Communications and Education Working Group. Their focus is on a producing newsletters and promoting the use of LinkedIn. Barb encouraged all members to connect to CCMA via LinkedIn and share our updates with their own contacts.
3. **Issue Logs:** Keith reported there were no other updates with regards to the Issue Logs at this time.
4. **Other Business:** Keith reported that the next meeting was being moved from March 24th to March 21st, due to vacation schedules.He also reported that CCMA is funded by the industry, and as such, the next round of funding will be collected via the CDS billing system. He anticipates that the funding will be collected as part of the March billing cycle, with payment in early April. Keith said that this round of funding is expected to cover costs of the association for both F2022 and F2023. He said that CCMA will issue a notice via the CDS Bulletin service in early March.
5. **Next Meeting:** The next meeting of the T+1 Steering Committee will be scheduled for Monday March 21, 2022, at 11:00 AM Eastern.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Attendance** | **Organization** | **Name** |
|  | AMF | Claude Gatien |
|  | AMF | Francis Coche |
|  | Bank of Canada | Stefan Caputo |
| **x** | Bank of Canada | Pooja Kumar |
| **x** | Bank of Canada | Andre Usche |
| **x** | BlackRock | William Trieu |
|  | BMO | Keri Peacock |
| **x** | Broadridge | Mary Beth Law |
| **x** | Broadridge - US | Doug Gifford |
| **x** | Canaccord | Sheera Badial |
|  | Candeal | Jamie Grant |
| **x** | Casgrain | Andre Zanga |
|  | Casgrain | Pierre Mital |
| **x** | CCMA | Barb Amsden |
| **x** | CCMA | Jamie Anderson |
| **x** | **CCMA** | **Keith Evans - Co-Chair** |
| **x** | CDS | Johann Lochner |
| **x** | CETFA | Pat Dunwoody |
|  | CFA Canada | Michael Thom |
|  | CIBC | Carol Elmalem |
|  | CIBC | Kapil Sharma |
| **x** | CIBC | Danny Leca |
|  | CIBC | TJ Panth |
|  | CIBC | Shawn Fernandez |
|  | CIBC - WM | Charlena Gaulin |
|  | CIBC Mellon | Louis Lesnika |
| **x** | CIBC Mellon | Carol Revoredo |
| **x** | CIBC Mellon - CASLA | Phil Zywot |
| **x** | Citi-Canada | Mei Yang |
|  | Citi - US | David Smith |
| **x** | Citi-US | Lyndon James |
| **x** | Connor Clarke | Mark Austin |
| **x** | Consultant | Fran Daly |
|  | Consultant | Jeff Carr |
|  | Cooperators | Mitra Raghubir |
|  | Cooperators | Mehreen Hussain |
| **x** | Desjardins | Pascal Deslauriers |
| **x** | DTCC | John Abel |
| **x** | DTCC | Robert Cavallo |
|  | Fidelity | Robert Argue |
| **x** | Fidelity | Stephanie Leblanc-Mchenry |
| **x** | Fundserv | Russ White |
| **x** | IFDS | Grant Bellamy |
| **x** | IFIC | Pamela Egger |
|  | IFIC/L&T Infotech | Janaki Nagulan |
| **x** | IIAC | Jack Rando |
| **x** | IIROC | Catherine Drennan |
| **x** | Kyndryl | Andrew Ledbury |
| **x** | L&T Infotech - Regulatory | Kim Barrett |
| **x** | Laurentian Bank | Hurillon, Anne-Claire |
|  | Laurentian Bank Securities | Jennifer Vachon |
| **x** | Manulife and CLHIA | Bill Devolin |
| **x** | National Bank | Nelson Dugre-Sasseville |
| **x** | Northern Trust | Domenic Sgambelluri |
|  | OSC | Aaron Ferguson |
| **x** | OSC | Jarrod Smith |
|  | OSC | Constance Wamala |
| **x** | OSC | Annetta Ho |
|  | OSC | Frank Lacroce |
|  | OSC | Stephanie Wakefield |
| **x** | Paramax | Allyn Howe |
|  | PMAC | Melissa Ghislanzoni |
|  | PWC | Samantha Paisley (CA) |
|  | Questrade | Andrew Kerrison |
|  | Questrade | Lulu Feng |
| **x** | RBC - IS | Cathryn MacKay |
| **x** | RBC - IS | John Riordon |
| **x** | RBC - IS | Isgar, Stephen |
| **x** | **RBC -CM** | **Jason O'Born - Co-Chair** |
| **x** | Scotia | Lori MachEachern |
| **x** | Scotia | Corey Cunningham |
| **x** | Statestreet | Denny Mendonca |
|  | Statestreet | Ivan Yang |
| **x** | TD | Rob Candido |
|  | TD Securities CASLA | Sumit Sharma |
|  | TMX | Amy Li |
| **x** | Torstone | Dave Omarra |
|  | Torstone | Geddes, Daniel |
|  | Torstone | Mack Gill |
|  | Vanguard | Darnel Miller |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**DRAFT CCMA Letter to the SEC**

April xx, 2022

Ms. Vanessa Countryman

Secretary, Office of the Secretary

Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”)

100 F Street, N.E.

Washington, DC 20549-1090

[comments@sec.gov](mailto:comments@sec.gov) / 202-551-5400

Dear Ms. Countryman:

**Re: File No. S7-05-22 – Shortening the Securities Transaction Settlement Cycle**

This letter, submitted on behalf of the Canadian Capital Markets Association (the “CCMA”), responds to the SEC’s proposed rules to shorten the standard settlement cycle for most broker-dealer transactions from two business days after trade date (“T+2”) to one (“T+1”). The securities marketplaces of the United States and Canadaare highly integrated; cross-border services are relied on by institutional and retail investors in both countries. Each trading day, an estimated one-quarter of total trade-processing volume in Canada is in Canadian-American interlisted securities. For this reason, securities market stakeholders in both jurisdictions have long worked to ensure that the cross-border clearing and settlement process is as frictionless as possible.

CCMA Members, representing all segments of the Canadian investment industry, agree that a shorter settlement cycle is desirable for risk reduction reasons. We have been working with the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association (“SIFMA”), Investment Company Institute (“ICI”), and The Depository Trust and Clearing Corporation (“DTCC”) for over a year now to ensure that the transition to T+1 proceeds efficiently, securely, and successfully, as occurred when the standard securities settlement cycle shortened from T+5 to T+3 in 1995, and from T+3 to T+2 in 2017. We have reviewed *[anticipated this will be done]* SIFMA’s, ICI’s and DTCC’s replies to the SEC’s request for comments, and so are commenting only on the issue that is of considerable concern to us.

**Question 70 – PROPOSED COMPLIANCE DATE:** **We recommend that:**

* **the proposed compliance date be changed from ‘by March 31, 2024’ to ‘by September 30, 2024’; or**
* **that the T+1 Steering Committees of the U.S. and Canada recommend a transition date to the SEC after both have been able to confirm that materially all of each of their respective marketplace participants are ready for the transition to the T+1 settlement cycle, with the SEC then setting the compliance date.**

We support both U.S. and Canadian capital markets transitioning to T+1 as soon as possible; it is equally important that both countries transition on the same day. To do otherwise would require additional work, higher costs, and greater risks for American and Canadian stakeholders to accommodate counterparties settling on a different date in our two countries, even for a relatively short period of time.

Holiday weekends are preferred for implementation because there are three instead of two days for final preparation and the following week is shortened, in the event that follow-up/adjustments are needed. Quarter-ends also are avoided, as they are typically high-volume entitlement and corporate action dates (interest/maturities, etc.) and high-value trading days that present additional risk.

**The one date that meets these two parameters – the first business day following a common American and Canadian holiday that is not a quarter-end – is Labour Day, also the weekend selected for the successful move to T+2 in 2017.**

A compliance date of by the end of Q3 is desirable and highly recommended, not just because Labour Day is a common holiday, but also because we think it premature to set an earlier date for two reasons:

1. ***The challenges of a 24-hour reduction in the settlement cycle to get to T+1 are materially greater than those arising from a reduction of the same number of hours in the move from T+3 to T+2:***

* At T+2, there was a full day and a half to correct errors; for T+1, there is effectively half a day;
* Foreign currency – also on a T+2 settlement cycle – was not an issue for T+2, but likely will be more challenging as the FX and securities settlement cycles diverge (certain other issues, such as securities lending, also present greater difficulties and may have a material market impact); and
* For the North American T+2 transition, Europe was already on a T+2 settlement basis and had resolved some changes to be grappled with; this will not be the case for the North-American-centric move to T+1 and may add additional complexity.

1. ***An end-of-Q1 compliance date, despite excellent work by SIFMA, DTCC, and ICI to prepare the industry, may well result in at least some firms making expedient decisions, rather than better choices assuming T+1 is a step on the road to T+0.***

While the industry needs an implementation date to focus on, system implementation best practice is to select a date when there is enough comfort that the date can realistically be met. The December 1, 2021 media release launching the joint SIFMA, DTCC and ICI report, [Accelerating the U.S. Securities Settlement Cycle to T+1](https://www.dtcc.com/-/media/Files/PDFs/T2/Accelerating-the-US-Securities-Settlement-Cycle-to-T1-December-1-2021.pdf) **(the “Report”)**, referred to moving to T+1 in the first half of 2024. From a risk management perspective, we believe that it is too early to set a date of Q1 2024 – already less than two years away from one possible implementation weekend – because of the challenges in (1) above. As noted in the Report, “The industry believes that *after regulatory certainty and guidance is achieved*, a *lengthy and necessary amount of time* will be required for T+1 implementation.” (emphasis added).

In summary, Canadian investment industry stakeholders have chosen to move in tandem with the U.S. to a standard T+1 settlement period with the same target implementation date to facilitate an orderly migration to T+1 in both markets. This is due not only to the interconnected nature of the clearing and settlement infrastructures of Canada and the U.S., but also to the fact that investors on both sides of the 49th parallel expect to invest cross-border efficiently and cost-effectively without disruptions, such as may arise at the time of a major systems and procedural change. We would be pleased to speak further with you on these matters at your convenience.

Yours sincerely,

[to be signed by Keith Evans]

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **T+1 Communications Plan** | | | | |
| **Desired Outcomes:** | | | **Measures of Success:** |
| * **Canadian industry** – asset managers, broker/dealers, custodians, infrastructure, etc. – are: * broadly aware of T+1 timelines, impacts, benefits * engaged with the CCMA * actively preparing for transition. * **Media** recognizes CCMA as Canadian coordinator and go-to information source for T+1 in Canada * **Regulators** consistently acknowledge the move to T+1 and provide timely answers to industry questions where response delays could negatively affect decision-making * **Investors/the public**, if/when/to the extent required, are informed by messaging from their financial institution | | | * Growth in number of newsletter members, committee members, LinkedIn followers * Industry associations, service bureaus, infrastructure providers and regulators amplify T+1 messaging through newsletters, events * Successive industry surveys indicate awareness and active preparation * Number of media mentions * Coverage is accurate and factual, consistent with/not contradictory to CCMA positions * Negative/inaccurate information is avoided or quickly corrected/neutralized * Number of statements (releases, at events) * CCMA members are satisfied and use any material they request |
| **Key Messages** | | | | |
| 1. **Canada will shorten the standard settlement cycle for equities, debt and funds** from two days (T+2) to one day (T+1) after transaction date on the same day as the U.S. (an SEC proposal calls for implementation by March 31, 2024. 2. **Canadian securities regulators acknowledge this initiative,** encourage industry participants to get involved, and are expected to make any necessary regulatory changes as they did when Canada and the U.S. successfully shortened the settlement cycle from three to two days in 2017. 3. **Canadian market participants must prepare for timely, co-ordinated change *now*** to avoid a substantial number of trades not settling as intended; standard deadlines for reporting, allocating and confirming trades will move from T+1 to late on trade date to allow completion of most trade reconciliations before the start of the next business day – T+1 – and also the future settlement date. 4. **Shortening the time to exchange securities for payment makes sense:** it will reduce the risk that a security transaction will not settle by one day, decrease market inefficiency, and support the competitiveness of Canadian capital markets. | | | | |
| **Assumptions:** | | | | |
| * Reliable and timely project information from Canada and the U.S. (including access to supportive data) is available * Industry association and key participants effectively communicate CCMA information to/obtain responses from their participants/members/clients and share with the CCMA * Open communications between T1SC, OWG, MFWG and LRWG so CEWG can prepare tools supporting implementation on a timely basis * Co-operation from/with U.S. communications counterparts * T+1 website and LinkedIn kept up to date * CCMA and as required other industry spokespeople are available, media-trained, and briefed * **Note:** CCMA does not deal with clients of industry members or comment on individual market participants’ actions or preparations; the CCMA operates on a consensus rather than directive basis. | | | | |
| **Potential Challenges:** | | **Ways to Mitigate Challenges:** | | |
| * Negative perception of T+1 (“no benefits”, “Canada not ready”) | | * Standby statements; call critical incident meeting; possibly involve regulators * Briefing and preparation prior to media contact * Repeating and strengthening key messages * Careful monitoring and response if required * Spokespeople identified and media-trained | | |
| * Individual participants not aware of T+1 | | * Leverage associations, media, service providers * Work with regulators * Conduct surveys | | |
| * U.S. does not coordinate communication | | * Work with DTCC connections | | |
| * Media disregard for topic as “not relevant” or sufficiently “interesting” | | * Leverage spokespeople, industry stakeholders and consistent messaging to drive coverage | | |
| * Website out of date/inaccurate * LinkedIn not maintained * Information of relevance missed | | * CEWG process in place to document, update and maintain website and LinkedIn *(****Note:*** *see proposed schedule below)* * Monitor developments elsewhere directly/through members | | |
| **Communications Vehicles:** | | | | |
| * E-mails: As needed * LinkedIn: Weekly * Website: As material is received * Newsletter: Bi-monthly | | * Events/webinars: As required * Podcasts: Bimonthly, alternative months to newsletter * Placed articles: As warranted * Media announcements: As warranted | | |
| **Anticipated Deliverables in 2022 *(to be updated for 2023)*:** | | | | |
| ***Due Date***   * Q1 2022 * Q1 2022/etc. * Q1 2022/etc. * Q1 2022 * Q1 2022 * Q1 2022 * Q1 2022/etc. * Q1 2022 * Q2 2022 * Q2 2022 * Q2 2022 * Q2 2022 * Q2 2022/etc. * Q2 2022 * Q3 2022 * Q3 2023 * As required      * If requested * Ongoing | ***Deliverable***   * Prepare Communications Plan and calendar and confirm frequency/timing of industry newsletters, podcasts, LinkedIn posts *(see attached schedule)* * Draft newsletter and successive ones *(scheduled)* * Prepare weekly LinkedIn posts *(underway)* * Identify supportive data/factoids *(underway)* * Get quotes from industry segment leaders for newsletters, LinkedIn * Get association logos for limited use *(underway)* * Develop readiness surveys *(target for Q2 release of awareness survey)* * Develop FAQs for website and, where appropriate, LinkedIn *(underway)* * Obtain media training/refresher for CCMA spokespeople, any other spokespeople * Develop “presentation in a box” highlighting general themes *(underway; need more ‘substance’ from working groups)* * Update [Readiness Self-Assessment Checklist](http://ccma-acmc.ca/en/wp-content/uploads/T2-Readiness-Self-Assessment-Checklist-2016-March.pdf) * Work with regulators/service providers to discuss disseminating information *(underway)* * Develop factsheet listing main benefits/challenges for each industry segment/their clients * Complete first podcast *(followed by others; target bimonthly)* * Update [Buy-Side Checklist](http://ccma-acmc.ca/en/wp-content/uploads/What-Investment-Fund-Managers-Should-Consider-as-They-Prepare-for-T2-September-1-2016.pdf" \t "_blank) * Identify/disseminate deadlines, reporting/attestation requirements * Respond to industry, media misinformation; undertake proactive case-by-case interview/outreach to targeted industry media *(deferred)* and fact-check * Provide if requested [sample communications materials for clients](http://ccma-acmc.ca/en/wp-content/uploads/Material-for-Communications-to-Clients-July-6-2017.pdf) (if required) * Confirm target list of industry media and contacts *(done, to be added to as project continues)* | | | |

**Media Target List**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Sector** | **Publication** | **Handler/PR** | **Notes** |
| Advisors/funds | Investment Executive  Wealth Management | CCMA  CCMA |  |
| Financial Media | Bloomberg | TBD |  |
| BNN | TBD |  |
| Pensions | Pensions & Investments  The Observer (ACPM) | Via Ahren  CCMA |  |
| Global Financial Media | Global Custodian | TBD |  |
| Securities Lending Times / Asset Servicing Times | CASLA |  |
| Global Investor / ISF | CCMA |  |
| Mainstream press | Major city media |  | Not at start |
| Globe and Mail |  | Not at start |
| National Post |  | Not at start |

**FAQs**

* Is the move to T+1 mostly a fund company issue that doesn't impact MFDA firms and their representatives? (FMFD)
* Security lending: concerned on how quickly we can retrieve our securities that were lent out and be ready to be settled (e.g., if it was sold by our IM) (PIAC)
* Treasury function: we are concerned about cash projections and cash needs and the ability to raise cash quickly such that we can fulfill settlement obligations. (PIAC)
* Currently the Canadian market is only matching about 95.5% by the end of the day on T+1 compared to 98.6% by the end of T+2.  (<https://www.cds.ca/data-consultation-tax/data-products/itp-stats>)  What steps are going to be taken in advance of a move to T+1 to get that total percentage matched up so that we don’t see a doubling in the number of failing trades in the Canadian market. (PIAC)
* Is consideration being given to aligning the move to T+1 across other markets.  As we saw with the move from T+3 to T+2, it makes cash movement around global trading more difficult when you having differing settlement cycles across markets. (PIAC)
* As our plans are externally managed and mostly in pooled funds, our main concern is that the move from T+2 to T+1 will increase the number of failed trades and that the settlement time for a pooled fund redemption might increase. As such, when we rebalance the portfolio the proceeds from a redemption may not be available on time to make a contribution to another fund, resulting in an overdraft.   In summary, our question is as follows: what mechanisms are in place to reduce the number of failed trades and minimize the impact on pooled funds holding the securities? (PIAC)
* Can February be avoided as an implementation date due to high demands on testing resources due to tax season?
* Will client name funds move to T+1?
* Is there any impact on discount brokers.

**COMMUNICATIONS CALENDAR**

|  | **Week** | **Newsletter** | **LinkedIn** | **Events/webinars** | **Podcasts** | **Other** | **Notes** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Jan.** |  |  | IIAC Op Ed |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Issue 2 - Jan. 31 | Newsletter |  |  |  |  |
| **Feb.** |  |  | OSC release |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **March** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Asset List |  |  |  |  |
|  | Issue 3 |  |  |  | Survey 1 |  |
| **April** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **May** |  |  |  |  |  | [Readiness Self-Assessment Checklist](http://ccma-acmc.ca/en/wp-content/uploads/T2-Readiness-Self-Assessment-Checklist-2016-March.pdf) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Issue 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| **June** |  |  |  | Event |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Presentation in a box |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | With CIBC Mellon |  |  |
| **July** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Issue 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| **August** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Buyside Checklist |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Podcast 2 |  |  |
| **Sept.** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Issue 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Oct.** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Podcast 3 |  |  |
| **Nov.** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Issue7 |  |  |  | Survey 2 |  |
| **Dec.** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Podcast 4 |  |  |