

Meeting link: https://us02web.zoom.us/j/87648777622?pwd=VEtxRytEVHBrUjdDNFBtaTd0T2NKZz09

- 1. Approval of Minutes from the meeting held on Aug. 18, 2022
- 2. Matters arising from the minutes of the meeting held on Aug. 18, 2022
- 3. "Plain Language" Trade Confirmation Summary
- 4. Review of Issue Logs
- 5. Other Business
- **6. Next Meeting** October 20, 2022 at 11:00 AM ET

Jamie Anderson chaired the meeting and welcomed the attendees. He requested that those attendees calling in to the Zoom meeting email himself or Keith Evans to confirm their attendance.

1. Approval of the July 21, 2022 meeting minutes

The minutes were approved.

2. Matters arising from the July 21, 2022 meeting minutes

There were no matters arising from the meeting minutes.

3. Trade Confirmation Summary

In the LRWG meeting on July 21, 2022, it was agreed that the members would review the Trade Confirmation Summary and discuss within their organizations in order to table comments at the Aug. 18, 2022 LRWG meeting. While the LRWG members had no comments, it was reported that there were questions at the most recent OWG meeting. The LRWG agreed that a plain language version of the Trade Confirmation Summary should be produced, incorporating all relevant matters (including those already contained in LRWG issue logs).

4. Review of Issue Logs

There were no issue logs tabled for review at the meeting.

4. Other Business

Transition Date

There was a question as to the "go-live" date for T+1. It was noted that the SEC proposed Rule is still in the comment review phase, with an expectation of a final Rule being issued by the end of 2022. There has been some references in the media to the 2024 Labour Day weekend as a transition target; this being based on the U.S. T+1 Industry Playbook.¹

Investment Funds

Pamela Egger provided an update regarding the IFIC Task Force evaluating T+1 and the impact on investment funds. The Task Force has reviewed concerns raised about liquidity (in the context of redemptions), investment funds holding securities trading in non-North American jurisdictions, client experience, and other matters. A recommendation is being provided to the IFIC Board on Sept. 8, 2022. The recommendation is not whether investment funds would transition to T+1 but whether IFIC is of the view that amendments are required to NI 81-102. The Task Force is of the view that amendments are not required.

¹ https://www.sifma.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/T1 Industry Implementation Playbook vF.pdf.



There was a point raised that the U.S. is changing its laws to require mutual funds to settle on T+1.² The view was that this would not have significant impact in the U.S. because U.S. mutual funds have settled on T+1 for at least the past 10 years.

It was noted that exchange traded funds would transition to T+1 in accordance with exchange rules but further analysis is required regarding the underlying baskets (there were some exemptions provided for the transition to T+2).

5. Next Meeting

September 15, 2022 at 11:00 AM ET

"security", s. 3(a)(10) of the Exchange Act.

² Proposed Rule 15c6-1 applies to equities, corporate bonds, unit investment trusts ("UITs"), mutual funds, exchange-traded funds ("ETFs"), American Depositary Receipts ("ADRs"), security-based swaps, options and other securities. See, the definition of "security" of 2(2)(10) of the Eyelpana Act.

	Attendance	Organization	Member	
1.		ASC	Jan Bagh	
2.		ASC	Chad Conrad	
3.		ASC	Harvey Steblyk	
4.		Casgrain	Lysianne Guillemette	
5.		Casgrain	Pierre Mital	
6.		Casgrain	Andre Zanga	
7.		CCMA	Barb Amsden	
8.		CCMA	Jamie Anderson - CHAIR	
9.		CCMA	Keith Evans	
10.		CIBC	Maryam Bashir	
11.		CIBC	Carol Elmalem	
12.		CIBC	Lavanya Gandhimohan	
13.		CIBC	Vikram Gulati	
14.		CIBC	Danny Leca	
15.		CIBC	Jim Newman	
16.		CIBC	Kapil Sharma	
17.		CIBC Mellon	Frank Baron	
18.		CIBC Mellon	Nick Douzenis	
19.		CIBC Mellon	Carol Revoredo	
20.		CIBC WM	Terry Moore	
21.		Connor, Clarke & Lunn	Patrick Robitaille	
22.		Desjardins	Zachary Carmel	
23.		Desjardins	Lafleche Montreuil	
24.		Desjardins	Éric Primeau	
25.		Desjardins	Jean-Gabriel Vigneault	
26.		IFIC	Pamela Egger	
27.		IFIC	Janet Salter	
28.		Invesco	Caroline Mingfok	
29.		L&T Infotech	Kim Barrett	
30.		IIROC	Bruce Grossman	
31.		L&T Infotech/IFIC	Janaki Nagulan	
32.	*	Morgan Stanley	Brian Choy	
33.		Morgan Stanley	Mazen Ghanem	
34.	*	National Bank	Anna Tyniec	
35.		Northern Trust - Legal	Scott Kelly	
36.		OSC	Aaron Ferguson	
37.		OSC	Nick Hawkins	
38.		OSC	Annetta Ho	
39.		OSC	Frank Lacroce	
40.		OSC	Emily Sutlic	



	Attendance	Organization	Member	
41.		OSC	Stephanie Wakefield	
42.		Questrade	Lawrence Horowitz	
43.	*	RBC - IS	Alan Tonner	
44.		Scotiabank	William Finn	
45.		Scotiabank	Julia Piergeti	
46.		State Street	Christen Henry	
47.		State Street	Rose Mark	
48.		TD	Riyaad Munshi	
49.		TD	Naudia Nelson	
50.	. TD Aamir Shahzad		Aamir Shahzad	
51.	51. TD Asset Management Jasvir Bhogal		Jasvir Bhogal	
52.	2. TD Wealth Paul Garnavos		Paul Garnavos	
53.	TD Wealth Governance & Control Elodie Goncalves		Elodie Goncalves	
54.		TMX	Alexandre Prince	
55.		Torstone	Dave O'Marra	
56.	Vanguard/CEFTA Jessica Stern		Jessica Stern	

Summary Only - Disclosure Requirements For Dealers - Canada

<u>Overview</u> – trade confirmations may be provided electronically (subject to consent of clients, which in most jurisdictions may be inferred). Disclosure requirements are established under IIROC Rules and some securities acts. In certain circumstances, trade confirmations may be suppressed.

IIROC Rule	Confirms ¹ must be in writing and be sent promptly, and contain:	
3816	1. trade date	
	2. marketplace(s) where the trade took place (or disclosure language acceptable to IIROC)	
	3. fee/other charge levied by any securities regulatory authority in connection with the trade	
	4. salesperson name	
	5. Dealer agent name used to effect the trade (if applicable)	
	6. settlement date	
	7. quantity and description of the security	
	8. the consideration	
	9. whether or not the person or company that executed the trade acted as principal or agent	
	10. If OTC: retail clients - all charges; institutional clients - the commission	
	11. If securities of the Dealer (or related or connected issuer), a statement to that effect	
	12. Disclosure of the relationship where a Dealer is controlled/affiliated with a financial	
	institution ("FI") and trade is for a mutual fund sponsored by the FI (or corporation	
	controlled by/affiliated with the FI)	
	Maintain and make available to the client or IIROC, upon request, the name of the person or	
	company from or to or through whom the security was bought or sold, if acting as an agent in a	
	trade on an equity marketplace.	
	For futures, future contract options, MBS, debt and OTC securities, see additional requirements	
	in the Rule.	
2284(1)	indicate membership in CPIF and the coverage available	
2285(1)	indicate Dealer is IIROC-regulated (in accordance with IIROC Membership Disclosure Policy)	
UMIR	In addition to IIROC Rule 3816, include the related issuers of the security traded.	
Policy 7.1		
Part 13	Sample of confirms must be reviewed quarterly.	

See also:

IIROC Notice 13-0283 – "Guidance on Marketplace and Average Price Disclosure for Trade Confirmations" (November 25, 2013).

IIROC GN-3800-21-007 – "Trade matching and trade confirmation suppression practices"

2022 Sept 15 Page **1** of **2**

¹ Confirms may not be required for managed and delivery against payment/receipt against payment accounts – see exceptions.

Securities Act ²	Confirms to client
NF s. 37	In addition to IIROC requirements, must also include: (1)(d) if acting as agent, name of counterparty on other side of the trade (an identifier
	code may be used [provided the confirm also states that details to be provided upon request])
	Mutual Funds - must contain items in (1), plus
	(a) the price per share or unit at which the trade was effected; and
	(b) the amount deducted by way of sales, service and other charges.
	Mutual Funds (under a contractual plan), need items in (1) and (2) plus various other specifics listed in s. 37(3)
ON s. 36(1)	Mail or deliver trade confirms
SK s. 42(1)	Promptly send written confirmation

NP 11-201 Electronic Delivery of Documents - if sending by fax, email or other electronic means:

- Notification that confirm has been/will be transmitted/sent electronically (can be one email)
- Easy access to the confirm (e.g. if posted to website, time available is reasonable)
- Authenticity of confirm and evidence of delivery
- · Recreated in electronic format (not scanned)

		Writing includes	Consent required,
		electronic form	may be inferred
NF	Electronic Commerce Act	s. 8	s. 7
PEI	Electronic Commerce Act	s. 6	s. 5
NS	Electronic Commerce Act	s. 8	s. 7
NB	Electronic Transactions Act	s. 8	s. 4 (no inference)
PQ	An Act to establish a legal framework for information	s. 5	s. 29 (no
	technology		inference)
ON	Electronic Commerce Act	s. 5	s. 3
MB	The Electronic Commerce and Information Act	s. 11	s. 4
SK	The Electronic Information and Documents Act	s. 8	s. 7
AB	Electronic Transactions Act	s. 11	s. 8
ВС	Electronic Transactions Act	s. 5	s. 4
NU	Electronic Commerce Act	s. 7	s. 6
NT	Electronic Transactions Act	s. 7	s. 6
YK	Electronic Commerce Act	s. 7	s. 6

See also privacy law requirements (not included with this summary).

2022 Sept 15 Page **2** of **2**

_

² Other provincial and territorial Securities Acts do not specify confirm requirements.